Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/821,190	KENNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RAMY M. OSMAN	2457	

All Participants:

(1) *RAMY M. OSMAN*.

(2) *Steven Bern (57095)*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *9 March 2009*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
102/103

Claims discussed:
1,18,45

Prior art documents discussed:
Apfel (5974454) and Berquist (5689666)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner discussed with Attorney Bern possible amendments to place the claims in condition for allowance. Draft claims were submitted by Attorney Berns. Although possibly overcoming the spplied art or record, none of the draft amendments were sufficient to place the claims in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)